the President of the United States. I do thank the majority leader for the timely consideration of this issue.

Let me also just point out I understand that there has to be vigorous debate on this issue. There also has to be votes. It is our intention to have votes on various amendments throughout this debate, and we need to have everyone on record on this issue. Also, I know I can count on the majority leader and the distinguished Democratic leader in trying to bring closure to this debate, to this issue, after reasonable debate, in one fashion or another.

Again, I want to thank the majority leader. It shows again the majority leader of this Senate, as was the case when the other side was the majority, when the leader gives his word, when the majority leader gives his word, it is good. And if it were otherwise, this body does not function.

I thank the majority leader and I thank the Democratic leader for all of his cooperation.

Mr. STEVENS. Will the Senator yield?

Mr. LOTT. I am happy to yield to the Senator.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I note that there is an understanding between us that conference reports coming out of the Appropriations Committee will receive prompt attention, but I wanted to make sure everyone understands that means putting aside anything that is here, to try and get these bills to the President before the end of the fiscal year.

Mr. LOTT. Mr. President, they are privileged, and would be brought up as soon as they are available. That is our highest priority as we reach the end of the fiscal year, and we want to move to immediate consideration of a continuing resolution also when it is available, if it is necessary, which I presume it will be.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, the pending unanimous-consent agreement would provide 8 hours on that. I hope that, too, would be subject to taking up the conference reports as they become available.

Mr. LOTT. It would be. I hope we would not take 8 hours on the CR. I hope we have an understanding what is in it. It would be clean, I believe. There are only two amendments in order, one on each side. I hope maybe that would not be necessary and we would have short debate and go straight to vote. Mr. STEVENS. I am sure Senator

BYRD and I appreciate that very much. Mr. LOTT. I yield the floor.

DEPARTMENT OF DEFENSE APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1998—CON-FERENCE REPORT

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the yeas and nays have been ordered on the defense appropriations conference report. The question is on agreeing to the conference report.

The clerk will call the roll.

The assistant legislative clerk called the roll.

Mr. FORD. I announce that the Senator from Delaware [Mr. BIDEN] and the Senator from Maryland [Ms. MIKULSKI] are necessarily absent.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Are there any other Senators in the Chamber desiring to vote?

The result was announced—yeas 93, nays 5, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 258 Leg.]

YEAS-93

Abraham	Faircloth	Lott	
Akaka	Feinstein	Lugar	
Allard	Ford	Mack	
Ashcroft	Frist	McCain	
Baucus	Glenn	McConnell	
Bennett	Gorton	Moseley-Braun	
Bingaman	Graham	Moynihan	
Bond	Gramm	Murkowski	
Boxer	Grams	Murray	
Breaux	Grassley	Nickles	
Brownback	Gregg	Reed	
Bryan	Hagel	Reid	
Burns	Hatch	Robb	
Byrd	Helms	Roberts	
Campbell	Hollings	Rockefeller	
Chafee	Hutchinson	Roth	
Cleland	Hutchison	Santorum	
Coats	Inhofe	Sarbanes	
Cochran	Inouye	Sessions	
Collins	Jeffords	Shelby	
Conrad	Johnson	Smith (NH)	
Coverdell	Kempthorne	Smith (OR)	
Craig	Kennedy	Snowe	
D'Amato	Kerrey	Specter	
Daschle	Kerry	Stevens	
DeWine	Kyl	Thomas	
Dodd	Landrieu	Thompson	
Domenici	Lautenberg	Thurmond	
Dorgan	Leahy	Torricelli	
Durbin	Levin	Warner	
Enzi	Lieberman	Wyden	
NAYS—5			

Feingold Kohl

Harkin

NOT VOTING-2

Mikulski Biden

Bumpers

The conference report was agreed to. Mr. INOUYE. Mr. President, I move to reconsider the vote by which the conference report was agreed to.

Wellstone

Mr. HOLLINGS. I move to lay that motion on the table.

The motion to lay on the table was agreed to.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

NOMINATION OF **KATHARINE** SWEENEY HAYDEN, OF NEW JER-SEY, TO BE U.S. DISTRICT JUDGE FOR THE DISTRICT OF **NEW JERSEY**

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. DEWINE). Under the previous order, the Senate will go into executive session to consider the nomination of Katharine Sweeney Hayden, of New Jersey, to be U.S. district judge for the District of New Jersey, which the clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read the nomination of Katharine Sweeney Hayden, of New Jersey, to be U.S. district judge for the District of New Jersey.

Mr. NICKLES. Mr. President, I ask for the yeas and nays on the nomina-

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there a sufficient second?

There is a sufficient second. The yeas and nays were ordered.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. question is, Will the Senate advise and consent to the nomination of Katharine Sweeney Hayden, of New Jersey, to be U.S. district judge for the District of New Jersey? On this question, the yeas and nays have been ordered, and the clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk called the roll. Mr. NICKLES. Mr. President, I announce that the Senator from Ver-

mont, [Mr. JEFFORDS] is necessarily ab-

Mr. FORD. I announce that the Senator from Delaware [Mr. BIDEN] and the Senator from Maryland [Ms. MIKULSKI] are necessarily absent.

The result was announced—yeas 97, nays 0, as follows:

[Rollcall Vote No. 259 Ex.]

YEAS-97

Abraham	Feingold	Lugar	
Akaka	Feinstein	Mack	
Allard	Ford	McCain	
Ashcroft	Frist	McConnell	
Baucus	Glenn	Moseley-Braun	
Bennett	Gorton	Moynihan	
Bingaman	Graham	Murkowski	
Bond	Gramm	Murray	
Boxer	Grams	Nickles	
Breaux	Grassley	Reed	
Brownback	Gregg	Reid	
Bryan	Hagel	Robb	
Bumpers	Harkin	Roberts	
Burns	Hatch	Rockefeller	
Byrd	Helms	Roth	
Campbell	Hollings	Santorum	
Chafee	Hutchinson	Sarbanes	
Cleland	Hutchison	Sessions	
Coats	Inhofe	Shelby	
Cochran	Inouye	Smith (NH)	
Collins	Johnson	Smith (OR)	
Conrad	Kempthorne	Snowe	
Coverdell	Kennedy	Specter	
Craig	Kerrey	Stevens	
D'Amato	Kerry	Thomas	
Daschle	Kohl	Thompson	
DeWine	Kyl	Thurmond	
Dodd	Landrieu	Torricelli	
Domenici	Lautenberg	Warner	
Dorgan	Leahy	Wellstone	
Durbin	Levin	Wyden	
Enzi	Lieberman		
Faircloth	Lott		
NOT VOTING—3			
NOT VOTING—3			

Jeffords The nomination was confirmed.

Biden

STATEMENT ON NOMINATION OF JUDGE KATHERINE SWEENEY HAYDEN

Mikulski

Mr. LEAHY. Mr. President, today is the 40th anniversary of the beginning of the end of racial segregation in the public schools in Little Rock, AR. As we turn to reflect on Little Rock and the aftermath of the Supreme Court's landmark decision on public school segregation, we should consider the important lessons those times still hold for us today. Little Rock was a testing point in our history when the rule of law and respect for our courts and Constitution prevailed.

Three years earlier, the Supreme Court's unanimous Brown versus Board of Education decision prompted a concerted assault on the judiciary. On March 12, 1956, 81 Members of Congress signed a resolution condemning that ruling as a "clear abuse of judicial power" and part of a "trend in the Federal judiciary to legislate, in derogation of the authority of Congress, and